

3111 Miller Plant Sciences 120 Carlton Street Athens, Georgia 30602 TEL 706-542-2461 cropsoil@uga.edu www.cropsoil.uga.edu

College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences Department of Crop and Soil Sciences – Annual Evaluation Policy

The Department of Crop and Soil Sciences (CRSS) developed and adopted the following Annual Evaluation Policy (AEP) to assure all faculty are evaluated based upon clear, transparent, and academic discipline-specific assessment criteria and rubrics that ensure academic freedom. This policy is based on UGA Academic Affairs Policies 1.06-1 Written Annual Evaluation and 1.10-10 Student Success Activities. Should any modifications to UGA policies result in contradictions to CRSS AEP, the UGA policy will supersede the departmental AEP, and the Department will adjust and approve changes to the departmental policy to comply with UGA policies. Annual evaluations will be conducted by the Department Head. Note that annual evaluations reflect the opinion of the Department Head and are not necessarily indicative of the opinion of the CRSS faculty who are eligible to vote on matters related to promotion and/or tenure.

Faculty in CRSS are required annually to submit

- An electronic copy of their UGA Elements annual activity report (<u>https://elements.uga.edu/</u>)
- 2. A self-assessment one-page narrative providing examples of how you have met the CRSS annual evaluation rubrics for:
 - a. Research
 - b. Extension
 - c. Instruction
 - d. Service
 - e. Student Success Activities
- 3. A one-page summary of goals for the upcoming year with regards to #2.
- 4. These evaluation documents are due to the Department Head by the second Friday of January (to avoid holidays). Unexcused failure to submit the required evaluation information will result in an annual evaluation of "1" (does not meet expectations) for each area of responsibility lacking the required documentation.
- 5. The Department Head will meet and discuss annual performance annually during the months of January to early March.
- 6. This meeting will also serve as an opportunity to review and, if needed, adjust the Allocation of Effort of the faculty member (based on the goals of the faculty member and the department for the current year). The meeting will also serve as an opportunity for faculty members to share their goals for the current calendar year.
- 7. A written summary report of the annual evaluation will be given to and must be signed by the faculty member. Faculty members have 10 working days to respond in writing to the Department Head, including any changes made to the written report. Unless the faculty member provides a written response, failure to sign the written annual evaluation report by the faculty member will be considered agreement of the



College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences Department of Crop and Soil Sciences – Annual Evaluation Policy

faculty member with the report. If the performance in any of the categories is judged to be a 1 - Does Not Meet Expectations or a 2 - Needs Improvement, the faculty member must be provided with a Performance Remediation Plan (PRP) to remediate their performance during the next year.

8. For faculty with joint appointments, the evaluation will be conducted jointly by the Heads of the departments in which the faculty has appointments. For faculty with appointments in UGA Centers and/or Institutes, input on a faculty member's performance will also be requested from the Center/Institute Director.

The attached annual evaluation letter template, including specific rubric components was adopted by the CRSS faculty and will be utilized to assure compliance with USG and UGA policy in the annual evaluation components and process requirements (i.e. 5-point rating scale for all applicable rubric components, documentation of contributions towards Student Success Activities, and documentation of past and current goals and achievements.

As per USG and UGA policy, Performance Remediation Plans (PRP) will be developed and implemented as needed. In cases where a PRP is required, it will be developed, implemented, and evaluated as described in UGA Academic Affairs Policies 1.06-1 Written Annual Evaluation with the following additions. The PRP will include as outlined in 1.06-1 clearly defined goals, activities to be undertaken, timetable, available resources and support, expectations for improvement and monitoring strategy. There should be goals set, how to improve the underperforming area, and how to raise the faculty member's performance to an acceptable level. There will be 4 meetings to assist in the PRP process in September, December, February and May.

A faculty member evaluated as a 1 – Does Not Meet Expectations or a 2 – Needs Improvement in any one of the assigned areas of effort, for which the assigned allocation of effort exceeds 10%, for two consecutive annual evaluations, will participate in a corrective post-tenure review, as described in the BOR Policy for Review of Tenured Faculty. Note that the deficiency does not have to be in the same area but could be in a different area from one year to the next (per 1.06-1). Consequences of failing to comply with a PRP would result in a 1 or 2 rating in the subsequent year. Consequences of failing to comply with a PRP for non-tenured faculty include that their contract would be subject to a non-renewal.

2023 Department of Crop and Soil Sciences Faculty Evaluation

Date of Evaluation mm/dd/yyyy

То:	FACULTY NAME			Current rank: Assistant, Associate, Professor,			<mark>, ASR, PS</mark>		
From:	HEAD OF DEPARTMENT NAME								
Appointment:	Instruction	#%	Researc	eh #%	Exten	sion	#%	Service	5%

Attachments: UGA Elements annual activity report; Annual Faculty Activity Report

Appointment area	# I.E. PAPERS, GRANTS, ETC.	DESCRIPTION	PERFORMANCE #1-5 https://provost.uga.edu/ policies/academic- affairs-policy-manual/
INSTRUCTION			
Development			
New/improved teaching materials & techniques			
Curricula development			
Attend teaching improvement courses/programs/fellowships/workshops			
Invited guest lecturers/demonstrations			
Effort – 1.10-10 SSA-Teaching		# credit hours generated for instruction (#students x #hours)	
Undergraduate courses (1000-2000 level)			
High-enrollment courses (>40 students)			
Undergraduate courses (3000-4000 level)			
Split-level courses (4000/6000 or 5000/7000)			
Interdisciplinary courses			
UGA Experiential learning courses			
Laboratory courses			
Graduate courses (6000/7000/8000)			
Intensive courses (across multiple campuses, study abroad, etc.)			
Guest lecturer			

Student Success (See examples at: https://provost.uga.edu/faculty_working_group/ student_success_activities/)		
Effective direction of graduate study including theses and dissertations		
Successful direction of individual student work (i.e., special problems, seminars, independent studies)		
Evidence of effective student undergraduate advisement		
Successful integration of teaching and research or teaching in ways that benefit students		
Graduate student committee service		
Publications		
Textbooks, published lecture notes, abstracts, articles or reviews reflecting a candidate's teaching contributions		
Presentation of papers on teaching at professional societies		
Grants		
Funded		
Pending		
Not funded		
Faculty Teaching Awards		
Other		
Overall area performance		

1	RESEARCH			
	Publications			
Refereed articles				
Patents/Cultivar R	Releases			
Book author				
Editor of Book				
Chapter author				
Monographs				
Prepublication sha	aring of data/softwa	are		
Refereed proceed	ings			
Abstracts				
Technical reports				
accomplishments product developm	research or creativ as appropriate (i.e. ent, new computer dia programs develo	, new software,		
	Grants			
Funded				
Pending				
Not funded				
I	Dissemination			
Presentation of	International	Invited		
research papers at technical and		Volunteer		
professional meetings	National	Invited		
		Volunteer		
	Regional/	Invited		
	State/Local	Volunteer		
seminars and wor	bation in and descri kshops (including s tivity, with titles, d	short		
https://provost.ug	ccess (See example a.edu/faculty_work success_activities	<u>king_group/</u>		

Scholarly achievements		
Evidence of graduate and post-doctoral student's scholarly achievements (e.g., publications, awards, grants)		
Number of team members		
Graduate students		
Post-doctoral scholars		
Visiting faculty/scholars/graduate students associated with Research		
Undergraduate support staff		
Technical staff (excludes state-funded staff)		
Young Scholars/Young Dawgs		
Honors and awards for scholarship		
Other		
Overall area performance		

	EXTENSION			
Publications https://extension.uga.edu/publications/about- publications.html				
Newsletters/Ag	ent Resources			
Circulars (Fact	Sheets)			
Annual Publicat	tions			
Bulletins				
Temporary Pub	lications			
Trade Journals/	Magazine Articles			
Power points				
Exhibits/Posters	3			
Web sites				
App developme	nt/Computer program	ns		
	Dissemination			
Presentation of extension	International	Invited		
papers at		Volunteer		
technical and professional	National	Invited		
meetings		Volunteer		
	Regional/state/local	Invited		
		Volunteer		
Seminars and co	ounty/regional meetir	ngs		
Social media en	gagement - blogs			
Field days, dem organizer or pre	onstrations (define ro esenter)	ole as		
Advisory meeting	ngs			
In-service training (define role as organizer or presenter)				
Workshops (def presenter)	fine role as organizer	or		
Consultations (s text messages, e	site visits, emails, pho etc.)	one calls,		
Interviews	TV/Radio/Video			

(define role as	Magazine/Journal/Newspaper		
host or guest)	Podcasts/Audio		
Student Success (See examples at: https://provost.uga.edu/faculty_working_group/ student_success_activities/)			
	Grants		
Funded	Funded		
Pending			
Not funded			
	awards related to extension programming		
Other			
Overall area pe	rformance		

	SERVICE		
Election to	Chair		
	Member (judging, moderating sessions, organizing symposia)		
Journal Service	Editor		
	Associate editor		
	Ad hoc reviewer		
Committee work	Chair		
at departmental, school/college, and/or university	Member		
other activities in	Peer review & service on grant panels		
which there was significant use of candidate's	Invited speaker		
	Service to government agencies		
	Consulting		
	Professional and industrial associates		
	Educational institutions		
Professional deve https://provost.ug student_success_a	a.edu/faculty_working_group/		
Interdisciplinary a	activity		
	Other		
Overall area per	formance		

Summary

Functional Assessment 1 - 5:

	1 Does not meet expectations	2 Needs improvement	3 Meets expectations	4 Exceeds expectations	5 Exemplary
Instruction					
Research					
Extension					
Service					

Faculty written response within 10 days from date of evaluation

Summary of Evaluation: (Will be attached as part of the review sent to the CAES Dean's office)

This constitutes your annual written evaluation required by Section 8.3.5.1 of the Board of Regents Policy Manual.

https://provost.uga.edu/policies/academic-affairs-policy-manual/1-06-evaluation/